

2 **Influence of zypmite on productivity and nutrient uptake of chickpea (*Cicer***
3 ***arietinum* L.) Crop under rainfed condition**

4 L.K. xxxxxxxx¹, A.W. xxxxxxxx^{1*}, S.S. xxxxxx², V.N. xxxxxx¹ and A. xxxxxx¹

5 ¹Department of xxxxxxxxxxxx, Faculty of xxxxxxxx, University of xxxxxxxxxxxx,

6 ²XXXXXXXXXXXX Division, Institute of xxxxxxxx xxxxxxxxxxxx, xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx

7 **ABSTRACT**

8 A field experiment was conducted to evaluate the effect of Zypmite fertilizer along with di-
9 ammonium phosphate (DAP) in study. The application of Zypmite exhibited in growth,
10 yield, nutrients uptake and availability of nutrient in soil. Zypmite response, the maximum
11 number of branches (25.8 p⁻¹), test weight (18.5 gm) and grain yield (17.10 q ha⁻¹) was
12 observed with 50 kg P₂O₅ through DAP + 40 kg S through Zypmite (T6). The nitrogen (69.52
13 kg ha⁻¹) and phosphorous (7.89 kg ha⁻¹) uptake was also found maximum under T6 and minimum
14 in control (T1). The potassium (39.27 kg ha⁻¹) and sulphur (7.85 kg ha⁻¹) uptake was observed
15 maximum under 50 kg P₂O₅ through DAP + 20 kg S through Zypmite (T5). After harvesting
16 of crop, available nutrient status was observed higher available nitrogen (243.0 kg ha⁻¹) under
17 T6 and available phosphorous was significantly higher in T2 and T9 (18.0 kg ha⁻¹) as compared
18 to control. Availability of potassium in all treatments was significantly not influenced during
19 both years. The sulphur availability in soil was significantly influenced among treatment and
20 found maximum (23.0 kg ha⁻¹) under 40 kg sulphur through Zypmite (T7). It was observed
21 that Zypmite and chemical fertilizers, enhanced yield and higher uptake of nutrient as well as
22 improved soil fertility.

23 **Keywords:** Zypmite, Sulpher, Productivity, Chickpea, Yield

24 **INTRODUCTION**

25 Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) is a most important pulse crop grown in India. Pulses can be
26 grown on a varied soil series and climatic environments, and play important role in crop
27 rotation, mixed and inter-cropping and maintain soil fertility through nitrogen (N) fixation in

*¹ Correspondent author email: xxxxxxxx@gmail.com

28 soil. Pulse crops are major source of protein among the all vegetarian in India, and having
29 essential amino acids, vitamins and minerals Pingoliya *et al.* (2013). They contain 22 to 24
30 percent protein, which is just about double in wheat and thrice in rice Shukla *et al.* (2013).
31 It is an integral part of the cropping system of the farmers all over the country, because this
32 crop fits well in the crop rotation and mixed cropping. It has multipurpose use and ability to
33 grow under the condition of low fertility and varying conditions of soil and climate. Kumbhare
34 *et al.* (2014) concluded that good agronomic management practices, awareness campaign of
35 integrated pest management (IPM) and use of high yielding varieties (HYV), pulses are more
36 economic as compared to cereals. Dry land areas comprise virtually 64% of the total cultivated
37 area and recorded 42% of total food grain production in the Indian agriculture (Anonymous,
38 2011). In Chhattisgarh state about 803.03 ha area under chickpea cultivation (ICRISAT-
39 Annual progress report 2011-12).

40 Sulphur is now recognized as major plant nutrient, along with nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P),
41 and potassium (K). Poor nutrient management is vital rationale of low productivity of
42 chickpea. Phosphorus is an important fertilizer in chickpea production (Dotaniya *et al.*, 2014;
43 Dotaniya *et al.*, 2013; Dutaniya and Datta, 2013). Phosphorus has a positive effect on nodule
44 formation and nitrogen fixation in legume crops (Deo and Khaldelwal, 2009). Sulphur
45 constitutes the main element of amino acids such as cysteine and methionine, which are of
46 essential nutrient value. In addition to these functions, ferro-sulphur proteins play an
47 important role in nitrogen fixation. This element positively affects nodulation in legume crops
48 in particular. It is essential for the growth and development of all crops, without exception.
49 Most of the plants requirement of Sulphur is absorbed through the roots in the form of sulphate
50 (SO_4^{-2}). Sulphur deficiency is becoming more critical with each passing year which is
51 severely restricting crop yield, produce quality, nutrient use efficiency and economic returns
52 on millions of farms. Like any essential nutrient, sulphur also has certain specific functions
53 to perform in the plant. Thus, sulphur deficiencies can only be corrected by the application
54 of sulphur fertilizer (Tandon and Messick, 2007).

55 Due to continuous cropping and imbalanced use of fertilizers, the deficiencies of secondary
56 nutrients are also coming up. The continuous use of S- free fertilizers has also created the
57 problem of S deficiency. Zypmite is a new source of S which contains 15% S and can be a

58 beneficial to different crops. In present study, Zypmite product was tested with
59 combinations of different fertilizer sources in different quantities to study the effect of
60 Zypmite on chickpea crop. The experiment was under taken during Rabi season 2010-11
61 and 2011-12 with chickpea as a test crop in *Vertisols* of the instructional cum research farm of
62 IGKV Raipur, with the objectives to study the effect of Zypmite on the productivity of
63 chickpea crop and nutrient uptake.

64 MATERIALS AND METHODS

65 The experiment was conducted yala session of 2010-11 and 2011-12 at the research cum
66 instructional farm of xxxxxxxxxxx xxxxxxxxxxx xxxxxxxxxxx xxxxxxx xxxxxxxx The soil
67 of the experimental field comes under the soil order of *Vertisols*. This soil is locally known as
68 *Kanhar* and identified as Arang II series. It is clayey in texture, dark brown to black in color,
69 neutral to alkaline in soil reaction (pH 7.6) due to presence of lime concretion in lower horizon.
70 The soil is 1-1.5 meter deep. Soil is represented as typical fine *montmorillonitic, hyperthermic,*
71 *udic chromustert*. The ten treatments were selected with three replicates and each consisted
72 of a Control (T1), 50 kg P₂O₅ through DAP (T2), 50 kg P₂O₅ through DAP+ Ca through
73 CaCO₃+ Zn (5 kg) through EDTA (T3), 50 kg P₂O₅ through DAP+ Ca through CaCO₃ (T4),
74 50 kg P₂O₅ through DAP+ 20 kg S through Zypmite (T5) 50 kg P₂O₅ through DAP+ 40 kg
75 S through Zypmite (T6), 40 kg S through Zypmite (T7), 50 Kg P₂O₅ through DAP+ 0.5 % S
76 spray through Zypmite (T8), 50 kg P₂O₅ through DAP+ 1 % S spray through Zypmite (T9)
77 and Soil test based fertilizer recommendation (T10) with chickpea (JG -226) crop having a
78 plot size 5x5 m. The treatments were replicated thrice and laid out under randomized block
79 design (RBD).

80 After thorough field preparation initial soil samples were taken to analyze the initial soil
81 properties. The initial soil sample was analyzed for available major nutrients; nitrogen (N),
82 phosphorous (P), potassium (K) and sulphur (S), organic carbon (OC), pH and soluble salts.
83 The pH of the experimental field was 7.6, EC 0.42 dSm⁻¹, CEC (c mol (p⁺) kg⁻¹) 39.38 and
84 organic carbon was 0.56%. The N status of the experimental field was low (218 kg ha⁻¹), medium
85 in available P (16.40 kg ha⁻¹) and S (18.20 kg ha⁻¹) while available K status was in higher range
86 (432.0 kg ha⁻¹). Phosphorus and sulphur were applied through DAP and Zypmite, respectively.
87 At harvest, seed and straw yields were recorded. Plant samples were collected for chemical

88 analysis of phosphorus, sulphur and nitrogen in seed and straw samples. In ground seed and
89 straw samples, N was estimated by micro Kjeldahal method (Piper 1966). For P and S, plant
90 samples were digested (ratio 9:3) in a diacid ($\text{HNO}_3:\text{HClO}_4$) mixture and P in the extract
91 was determined by vanadomolybdate yellow colour method (Jackson 1973). Sulphur content
92 in the same extract was determined according to method outlined by Tabatabai and Bremner
93 (1970). Surface soil samples (0-15 cm depth) were collected for chemical analysis after
94 harvesting the crop each year from all plots. For available P, soil samples were extracted with
95 0.5 M NaHCO_3 (pH = 8.5) (Olsen *et al.* 1954) and P content in the extracts was determined
96 as described by Jackson (1973). Available S was determined by extracting soil samples with
97 0.15% CaCl_2 (Williams and Steinbergs 1959), and S in the extract was estimated by
98 turbidimetric method (Chesnin and Yien 1951).

99 The observations on plant height, No. of branches plant⁻¹ were recorded manually on five
100 randomly selected representative plants from each plot of each replication separately as
101 well as yield and yield attributing character were recorded as per the standard method. Yield
102 attributes were also recorded at physiological maturity stage. The seed and straw yield was
103 recorded from netplot area of each treatment. The data obtained from various characters under
104 study were analyzed by the method of analysis of variance as described by (Gomez and
105 Gomez, 1984).

106 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

107 **Yield and Yield Attributes:** The data can be recorded and analyzed for yield attributing
108 characters of chickpea (Table 1). Among the different treatment maximum number of
109 branches (25.80 per plant) was observed under treatment T6, and which was found to be at par
110 with T2, T3, T4, T5, T8, T9th and T10. The maximum test weight (18.50 gm) was also observed
111 under T6. The grain and stover yield data was significantly influenced by different nutrient
112 treatment. The maximum grain yield 17.10 (q ha⁻¹) was observed under T6 and stover yield
113 (15.89 q ha⁻¹) in T5. Similar finding was reported Lal *et al.* (2014) and Lakpale *et al.* (2003).
114 Data in Table 1 show that treatments had significant effect on grain and straw yields of
115 chickpea. Srividya *et al.* (2009) reported that the P at 50 kg P_2O_5 ha⁻¹ was supplied through
116 SSP, rock phosphate (20% wt/wt) and DAP produced maximum yield of chickpea over control.

117 Although the significant differences were not observed between control and application of P
118 through DAP. It indicates that P response to the test crop did not have a remarkable effect.
119 Similar results were finding by Singh and Rana (2006). Verma and Singh (2008) reported
120 that seed and straw yield of moong bean significantly increased with the application of 75 kg
121 P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ with Rhizobium treated. Similar result was reported by Pingoliya *et al.* (2014).
122 Other treatments were also not statistically significant, however; Zypmite application and soil
123 test based fertilizer application had significant effect over control treatment. Nawange *et al.*
124 (2011) also reported that application 40 kg S increase the seed yield of chickpea. The straw
125 yield also showed identical results to that of yields. The data on phenology like number of
126 branches, plant heights and test weight showed supporting results of grain and straw yield.

127 **Nutrient Uptake:** The data analyzed on two year mean basis data of Nutrient uptake was
128 tabulated in (Table 2). The N uptake was found maximum (69.52 kg ha⁻¹) under T6, and which
129 was significantly higher over all other treatment. In contrast to the application of 20 kg ha⁻¹ N
130 and 25 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ significantly increased number of branches and nutrients over the control.
131 The P uptake is important in pulses for maximum production, the uptake of P was found
132 maximum (7.87 kg ha⁻¹) under T6, while treatment T2, T3, T4, T5, T8, T9 and T10 found to be
133 at par. Krishna and Yadav (1997) conducted a field experiment with different levels of P and S
134 and micronutrients concentration on chickpea and we concluded that significantly higher
135 yield with cupper content decreased with increasing dose of P and minimum cupper content
136 was found in grain and straw and higher uptake of P and S. Similar results were reported by
137 Singh and Singh (2004) in black gram; Deo and Khaldelwal (2009) and Ammal *et al.* (2001)
138 in chickpea. The formation of acids by soil micro - organism and root exudates enhanced
139 nutrients mobilization in soil Ammal *et al.* (2001).

140 The uptake of potassium and sulphur in chickpea crop was observed higher (39.27 and 7.85 kg
141 ha⁻¹) under T5. The S uptake of treatment T2, T3, T6, T9 and T10 found at par with it.
142 Chaudhary and Goswami (2005) reported that P and S application in chickpea significantly
143 increased the yield and yield attributes over the control. Similar results were found Sharma
144 and Jat (2003).

145 **Available Soil Nutrients:** After harvesting of crop, soil was analyzed for available soil nutrient
146 and data was analyzed and tabulated in (Table 3). The available soil N was found maximum

147 (243.0 kg ha⁻¹) under T6. Khoja *et al.* (2002) reported that application of nitrogen with
148 phosphatic fertilizers improve soil fertility levels in chickpea over the control. The available P
149 in soil was maximum (18.0 kg ha⁻¹) under treatment T2 and T9. There was no significant change
150 in available K in soil in due to treatments. The S availability in soil was significantly influenced
151 among treatment and was found maximum (23.0 kg ha⁻¹) under treatment T7. Kothari and
152 Jethra (2002); Chandra Dev and Khaldelwal, (2009) also reported that the available sulphur
153 increased with increasing levels of sulphur application. Phosphorus application had no effect
154 on the sulphur content of soil.

155 The data showed that post-harvest soil test status in relation to different treatments application.
156 The results show that the changes in soil test values with respect to available N did not have
157 remarkable effect in relation to the different treatments application. Since the test crop is a
158 leguminous crop and initial starter dose of fertilizer N was given, hence control and
159 Zypmite application resulted low available N level after the crop harvest. Available P and S
160 level slightly increased in comparison to other treatments application. The levels of these
161 nutrients were low in control treatment which was expected due to uptake of nutrient from the
162 soil source only. The level of available K did not show any significant variation due to the
163 application of deferent treatments.

164 **CONCLUSION**

165 The explosions of Indian population enhance the demand of pulses. The high human
166 population needs higher pulse production for satisfying the nutritive protein requirements.
167 We are celebrating international pulse years 2016 and we will produce more amounts of pulses
168 in upcoming centuries. Experiment results revealed that chickpea responds to P and S
169 fertilization and improves the productivity of the seeds. Therefore, 50 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ along with
170 40 kg S ha⁻¹ through Zypmite should be applied in heavy textured soils for chickpea
171 production. Application of Zypmite along with phosphatic fertilizers in chickpea production,
172 improved soil fertility in long run. The continuous use of sulphur containing fertilizer has
173 also reduced the problem of S deficiency in Indian soils and protect to plant by fungal
174 infestation. Sulfur had better for included in nutrient management to get maximum yield
175 of chickpea. It should be required to develop new HYV with resistance to insect-pest and

176 disease and making a new combination of fertilizer to enhanced higher use efficiency.

177 **REFERENCES**

178 Ammal, U.B., Mathan, K.K., Mahimairaja, S. (2001). Effect of different levels of rock
179 phosphate - sulphur granule on yield and nutrient availability. *Indian Journal of Agricultural*
180 *Research*, 35:166 - 170.

181 Anonymous, (2011). Agricultural statistics at a glance. DAC, Government of India.

182 Annual progress report ICRISAT (2012). Enhancing chickpea production in Rainfed Rice
183 Fallow Lands (RRFL) of Chhattisgarh (CG) and Madhya Pradesh (MP) states of India
184 following Improved Pulse Production and Protection Technologies (IPPPPT). pp. 27.

185 Chandra Dev and Khaldelwal, R.B. (2009). Effect of P and S nutrition on yield and quality
186 of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *Journal of Indian society of soil science*, 57: 352-356.

187 Chaudhary, V.K. and Goswami, V.K. (2005) Effect of phosphorus and sulphur fertilization
188 on chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) cultivar. *Annals Agriculture Research*, 26: 322–323.

189 Chesnin, L. and Yien, C.H. (1951). Turbidimetric determination of available sulphate. *Soil*
190 *Science Society of America Proceedings*, 15:149-151.

191 Dotaniya, M.L., Datta, S.C., Biswas, D.R., Meena, H.M., Kumar, K. (2014). Production of
192 oxalic acid as influenced by the application of organic residue and its effect on phosphorus
193 uptake by wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) in an Inceptisol of north India. *National Academic*
194 *Science Letter*, 37:401-405. DOI: 10.1007/s40009-014-0254-3.

195 Dotaniya, M.L. and Meena, V.D. (2013). Rhizosphere effect on nutrient availability in soil
196 and Its uptake by plants -A review. *Proceedings of National Academic Science, India Sec. B:*
197 *Biological Science*, DOI: 10.1007/s40011-013-0297-0.

198 Dotaniya, M.L. and Datta, S.C. (2013). Impact of bagasse and press mud on availability and
199 fixation capacity of phosphorus in an Inceptisol of north India. *Sugar Tech*. 16:109-112
200 DOI: 10.1007/s12355-013-0264-3.

201 Gomez, K.A. and Gomez, A.A. (1984). Statistical procedures for agricultural research (2nd
202 Edn.). John Wiley and Sons, New York. pp. 680.

203 Jackson, M.L. (1973). *Soil Chemical Analysis*. Prentice Hall of India Private Limited, New
204 Delhi.

205 Khoja, J.R., Khangarot, S.S., Gupta, A.K., Kulhari, A.K. (2002). Effect of fertility and
206 biofertilizer on growth and yield of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *Annals of Plant and Soil*
207 *Research*, 4:357- 358.

208 Kothari, M.L. and Jethra, J.K. (2002). Response of wheat to sulphur application in loamy sand

- 209 soil. *Annals of Arid Zone*, **41**: 191-194.
- 210 Krishna, S. and Yadav, R.S. (1997). Effect of varying levels of P and S on concentration of
211 copper, manganese and iron in chickpea. *Legumes Research*, **20**:127-129.
- 212 Kumbhare, N.V., Dubey, S.K., Nain, M.S., Ram Bahal. (2014). Micro analysis of yield gap
213 and profitability in pulses and cereals. *Legume Research*, **37**: 532-536. DOI:
214 10.5958/0976- 0571.00671.7.
- 215 Lakpale, R., Shrivastava, G.K., Chaube, N.K., Singh, A.P., Joshi, B.S., Pandey, R.L.
216 (2003). Response of gram (*Cicer arietinum* L.) to integrated nutrient management in Vertisols
217 of Chattisgarh plains. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Science*, **73**:162-163.
- 218 Lal, B., Rana, K.S., Rana, D.S., Gautam, P., Shivay, Y.S., Ansari, M.A., Meena B.P. and
219 Kumar K. (2014). Influence of intercropping, moisture conservation practice and p and s levels
220 on growth, nodulation and yield of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) under rainfed condition.
221 *Legume Research*, **37**: 300-305.
- 222 Nawange, D.D. Yadav, A.S. Singh, R.V. 2011. Effect of phosphorus and sulphur application
223 on growth, yield attributes and yield of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) *Legume Research*, **34**:48-
224 50.
- 225 Olsen, S.R. Cole, C.V. Watanabe, F.S. Dean, L.A. 1954. Estimation of available P in soils
226 by extraction with sodium bicarbonate. *Circular of the United States Department of*
227 *Agriculture*, **939**.
- 228 Pingoliya, K.K., Dotaniya, M.L., Mathur, A.K. (2013). Role of phosphorus and iron in
229 chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). Lap Lambert Academic Publisher, Germany.
- 230 Pingoliya, K.K., Mathur, A.K., Dotaniya, M.L., Jajoria, D.K., Narolia, G.P. (2014). Effect
231 of phosphorus and iron levels on growth and yield attributes of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.)
232 under agro-climatic zone IV A of Rajasthan, India. *Legume Research*, **37**:537-541.
- 233 Piper, C.S. 1966 *Soil and Plant Analysis*. Hans publishers.
- 234 Sharma SK, Jat NL (2003). Effect of phosphorus and sulphur on growth and yield of cowpea
235 (*Vigna unguiculata* L.). *Annals of Agriculture Research*, New Series, 24:215-216.
- 236 Shukla, M., Pate, I R.H., Verma, R., Deewan, P., Dotaniya, M.L. (2013). Effect of bio-organics and
237 chemical fertilizers on growth and yield of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) under middle Gujarat
238 conditions. *Vegetos*. 26:183-187. DOI: 10.5958/j.2229 4473.26.1.026.
- 239 Srividya, S., Prasad, P.V.N., Srivinas, R.V., Veeraraghavaiah, R. (2009). Influence of alternate
240 source of phosphorus to conventional sources on the yield attributes and yield of chickpea.
241 *Legumes Research*, 32:218- 219.
- 242 Singh, T. and Rana K.S. (2006) Effect of moisture conservation and fertility on Indian mustard
243 (*Brassica juncea*) and lentil (*Lens culinaris*) intercropping system under rainfed conditions. *Indian*

- 244 Journal Agronomy, 51: 266-270.
- 245 Singh, Y.P. and Singh, Ranbir 2004. Interaction effect of sulphur and phosphorus on growth and
246 nutrient content of blackgram (*Phaseolus mungo* L.). Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science,
247 52: 266-269.
- 248 Tabatabai, M.A. and Bremner, J.M. 1970. A simple turbidimetric method of determination of total
249 sulphur in plant materials. Agronomy Journal, 62: 805-806.
- 250 Tondon, H.L.S. and Messick, D.L. 2007. Practical of Sulphur guide .The Sulphur Institute,
251 Washington, D.C. pp. 1-2.
- 252 Verma, L.K., Singh, P.R. (2008). Effect of phosphorus on nitrogen fixing potential of Rhizobium
253 and their response on yield of mung bean (*Vigna radiata* L.). An Asian Journal of Soil Science,
254 3:310-312.
- 255 Williams, C.H. and Steinbergs, A. 1959. Soil sulphur fractions as chemical indices of available
256 sulphur in some Australian soils. Australian Journal of Agricultural Research 10:340-352.

TABLE 1: Yield and yield attributing parameters for chickpea crop in relation to different treatments application

Treatment	No. of branches plant ⁻¹			Test wt. (gm ⁻¹ 100 seed)			Grain Yield (q ha ⁻¹)			Straw Yield (q ha ⁻¹)		
	2011	2012	Mean	2011	2012	Mean	2011	2012	Mean	2011	2012	Mean
T ₁ :Control	21.40	20.40	20.90	17.90	17.60	17.75	14.37	12.35	13.36	13.37	11.57	12.47
T ₂ :50 kg P ₂ O ₅ through DAP	24.60	24.80	24.70	18.20	18.40	18.30	16.31	16.42	16.36	15.21	15.75	15.48
T ₃ : 50 kg P ₂ O ₅ through DAP+ Ca through CaCO ₃ + Zn (5 kg) through EDTA	25.10	25.00	25.05	18.30	18.50	18.40	16.92	15.97	16.45	15.93	15.30	15.61
T ₄ : 50 kg P ₂ O ₅ through DAP+ Ca through CaCO ₃	24.60	24.50	24.55	18.20	18.10	18.15	16.41	16.30	16.35	15.06	15.32	15.19
T ₅ : 50 kg P ₂ O ₅ through DAP+ 20 kg S through Zypmite	25.20	24.60	24.90	18.30	18.40	18.35	17.13	16.98	17.06	15.83	15.95	15.89
T ₆ : 50 kg P ₂ O ₅ through DAP+ 40 kg S through Zypmite	26.00	25.60	25.80	18.30	18.10	18.50	17.43	16.78	17.10	16.08	15.30	15.69
T ₇ : 40 kg S through Zypmite	23.60	24.10	23.85	18.10	18.20	18.15	15.18	15.18	15.18	14.27	14.53	14.40
T ₈ : 50 kg P ₂ O ₅ through DAP+ 0.5 % S spray through Zypmite	24.90	24.80	24.85	18.20	18.10	18.15	16.68	16.22	16.45	15.56	15.42	15.49
T ₉ : 50 kg P ₂ O ₅ through DAP+ 1 % S spray through Zypmite	25.40	25.00	25.20	18.20	18.50	18.35	16.87	16.31	16.59	15.78	15.63	15.71
T ₁₀ : Soil test based fertilizer recommendation	25.20	24.6	24.90	18.00	17.80	17.90	16.76	16.58	16.67	15.77	14.50	15.13
SEm±	0.69	0.53	0.48	0.65	0.75	0.45	0.57	0.28	0.32	0.53	0.67	0.44
CD (P ≤0.05)	2.07	1.59	1.44*	NS	NS	NS	1.70	0.84	0.97*	1.59	1.99	1.32*

DAP- Di-ammonium phosphate; Ca- Calcium; EDTA- Ethylene diamine tetraacetic acid; Zypmite- As a source of sulphur fertilizer; *Significant at P ≤0.05; NS- Non Significant at P > 0.05

TABLE 2: Nutrient uptake by chickpea crop in relation to different treatment application

Treatment	Total nutrient uptake by gram crop (kg ha ⁻¹)											
	Nitrogen			Phosphorous			Potassium			Sulphur		
	2011	2012	Mean	2011	2012	Mean	2011	2012	Mean	2011	2012	Mean
T ₁ :Control	55.19	53.65	54.42	6.41	6.11	6.26	32.05	31.53	31.79	6.66	6.39	6.53
T ₂ :50 kg P ₂ O ₅ through DAP	63.37	65.32	64.35	7.33	7.40	7.37	37.22	37.60	37.41	7.26	7.33	7.30
T ₃ : 50 kg P ₂ O ₅ through DAP+ Ca through CaCO ₃ + Zn (5 kg) through EDTA	67.27	64.36	65.82	7.59	7.26	7.43	39.35	38.64	39.00	7.83	7.49	7.66
T ₄ : 50 kg P ₂ O ₅ through DAP+ Ca through CaCO ₃	63.36	63.14	63.25	7.22	7.19	7.21	37.20	37.07	37.14	7.37	7.29	7.33
T ₅ : 50 kg P ₂ O ₅ through DAP+ 20 kg S through Zypmite	68.01	66.48	67.25	7.65	7.48	7.57	39.71	38.82	39.27	7.94	7.76	7.85
T ₆ : 50 kg P ₂ O ₅ through DAP+ 40 kg S through Zypmite	70.09	68.95	69.52	7.93	7.80	7.87	38.33	37.70	38.02	7.79	7.66	7.73
T ₇ : 40 kg S through Zypmite	60.97	62.3	61.64	6.80	6.95	6.88	35.15	35.92	35.54	6.77	6.92	6.85
T ₈ : 50 kg P ₂ O ₅ through DAP+ 0.5 % S spray through Zypmite	65.76	65.51	65.64	7.50	7.47	7.49	38.46	38.32	38.39	7.26	7.18	7.22
T ₉ : 50 kg P ₂ O ₅ through DAP+ 1 % S spray through Zypmite	67.83	66.37	67.10	7.53	7.26	7.40	39.33	37.91	38.62	7.96	7.67	7.82
T ₁₀ : Soil test based fertilizer recommendation	66.8	65.68	66.24	7.55	7.31	7.43	39.23	37.98	38.61	7.57	7.38	7.48
SEm±	0.79	0.76	0.48	0.27	0.44	0.25	0.99	1.10	0.73	0.27	0.23	0.16
CD (P ≤0.05)	2.37	2.26	1.44*	0.82	NS	0.75*	2.94	3.28	2.18*	0.81	0.69	0.50*

DAP- Di-ammonium phosphate; Ca- Calcium; EDTA- Ethylene diamine tetraacetic acid; Zypmite- As a source of sulphur fertilizer; *Significant at P ≤0.05; NS- Non Significant at P > 0.05

TABLE 3: Soil available nutrients status after harvesting of crop

Treatment	Available nutrients (kg ha ⁻¹)											
	N			P			K			S		
	2011	2012	Mean	2011	2012	Mean	2011	2012	Mean	2011	2012	Mean
T ₁ :Control	222.0	219.0	220.5	14.6	13.2	13.9	424.0	419.0	421.5	16.4	15.4	15.9
T ₂ :50 kg P ₂ O ₅ through DAP	232.0	234.0	233.0	17.4	18.6	18.0	428.0	424.0	426.0	16.2	15.8	16.0
T ₃ : 50 kg P ₂ O ₅ through DAP+ Ca through CaCO ₃ + Zn (5 kg) through EDTA	238.0	237.0	237.5	15.8	17.2	16.5	432.0	427.0	429.5	19.6	18.8	19.2
T ₄ : 50 kg P ₂ O ₅ through DAP+ Ca through CaCO ₃	238.0	239.0	238.5	15.8	17.6	16.7	430.0	426.0	428.0	19.7	18.7	19.2
T ₅ : 50 kg P ₂ O ₅ through DAP+ 20 kg S through Zypmite	244.0	241.0	242.5	16.3	17.6	17.0	428.0	433.0	431.0	19.6	20.8	20.2
T ₆ : 50 kg P ₂ O ₅ through DAP+ 40 kg S through Zypmite	242.0	244.0	243.0	16.6	18.4	17.5	426.0	431.0	429.0	20.4	22.2	21.3
T ₇ : 40 kg S through Zypmite	228.0	230.0	229.0	17.6	15.6	16.6	432.0	428.0	430.0	22.2	23.8	23.0
T ₈ : 50 kg P ₂ O ₅ through DAP+ 0.5 % S spray through Zypmite	238.0	236.0	237.0	16.8	18.2	17.5	430.0	431.0	431.0	19.4	18.6	19.0
T ₉ : 50 kg P ₂ O ₅ through DAP+ 1 % S spray through Zypmite	234.0	236.0	235.0	17.2	18.8	18.0	434.0	429.0	432.0	19.6	19.0	19.3
T ₁₀ : Soil test based fertilizer recommendation	234.0	232.0	233.0	17.4	18.2	17.8	432.0	437.0	435.0	19.6	18.4	19.0
SEM±	1.12	1.34	0.72	0.42	0.28	0.28	6.89	5.66	5.95	0.57	0.35	0.27
CD (P ≤0.05)	3.32	4.00	2.15*	1.26	0.84	0.84*	NS	NS	NS	1.53	1.06	0.81*

DAP- Di-ammonium phosphate; Ca- Calcium; EDTA- Ethylene diamine tetraacetic acid; Zypmite- As a source of sulphur fertilizer; *Significant at P ≤0.05; NS- Non Significant at P > 0.05